

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/581,102	05/31/2006	Franz Wieth	SPT-PT007	5371
3634 7550 010402010 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			MEYER, KATY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/581,102 WIETH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Katy Meyer 3618 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CC) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/09. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20091230 Application/Control Number: 10/581,102

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldstein et al. (US 4,772,880) in view of Prather et al. (US 6,054,923).

Goldstein et al. disclose a transport cart with front (20, 22) rollers comprising: an anti-theft protection (24) that can be activated automatically as soon as the transport cart is located outside of a permissible area, the anti- theft protection includes at least one of the front rollers (22) fixable in a predetermined steering position corresponding to a blocking angle, and upon activation, the anti-theft protection automatically moves the rollers into the steering position corresponding to the blocking angle (column 2, lines 5 - 9). It is noted that the pressure exerted on the wheels by the pair of arms (36, 38) urges the wheel toward a predetermined blocking position (see column 3, lines 1 - 15).

Prather et al. teach an anti-theft device for a cart wherein said device automatically acts on a rear wheel (see 34) and a front wheel (26). Said rear wheel is fixed at a straight angle (column 6, lines 43 - 51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the anti-theft

Application/Control Number: 10/581,102

Art Unit: 3618

system taught by Goldstein et al. to act on a back wheel as well to prevent a thief from moving the cart by tipping the cart and using only the back wheels.

Goldstein et al. further disclose a force (i.e. the pressure exerted by the arms as noted above) which urges the roller toward an oblique position.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldstein et al. (US 4,772,880) in view of Prather et al. (US 6,054,923) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schweninger (US 6,102,414).

Goldstein et al. and Prather et al. meet all the limitations of the claimed invention, but do not disclose a spring-loaded bolt. Schweninger teaches an anti-theft device for a cart wherein a spring-loaded bolt (see 90) latches immediately into a recess (see 88) on a roller as soon as the steering angle corresponds to the blocking angle. Said arrangement is very well known in the art. It would have been obvious to modify the anti-theft device taught by Goldstein et al. to further include a locking bolt to ensure proper positioning of the wheel without damage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 – 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/581,102

Art Unit: 3618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katy Meyer whose telephone number is (571)272-5830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-7742. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618

/Hau V Phan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618